

Increased Genetic Vulnerability to Smoking at *CHRNA5* in Early-Onset Smokers

Sarah M. Hartz, MD, PhD; Susan E. Short, PhD; Nancy L. Saccone, PhD; Robert Culverhouse, PhD; LiShiun Chen, MD, MPH, ScD; Tae-Hwi Schwantes-An, MS; Hilary Coon, PhD; Younghun Han, PhD; Sarah H. Stephens, PhD; Juzhong Sun, MPH, MSc; Xiangning Chen, PhD; Francesca Ducci, MD, PhD; Nicole Dueker, PhD; Nora Franceschini, MD, MPH; Josef Frank, MSc; Frank Geller, MSc; Daniel Guðbjartsson, PhD; Nadia N. Hansel, MD, MPH; Chenhui Jiang, MA; Kaisu Keskitalo-Vuokko, PhD; Zhen Liu, PhD; Leo-Pekka Lyytikäinen, MD; Martha Michel, PhD; Rajesh Rawal, Dr Sc Hum; Albert Rosenberger, MS; Paul Scheet, PhD; John R. Shaffer, PhD; Alexander Teumer, PhD; John R. Thompson, PhD; Jacqueline M. Vink, PhD; Nicole Vogelzangs, PhD; Angela S. Wenzlaff, MPH; William Wheeler, PhD; Xiangjun Xiao, MS; Bao-Zhu Yang, PhD; Steven H. Aggen, PhD; Anthony J. Balmforth, PhD; Sebastian E. Baumeister, PhD; Terri Beaty, PhD; Siiri Bennett, MD; Andrew W. Bergen, PhD; Heather A. Boyd, PhD; Ulla Broms, PhD; Harry Campbell, MD; Nilanjan Chatterjee, PhD; Jingchun Chen, MD, PhD; Yu-Ching Cheng, PhD; Sven Cichon, PhD; David Couper, PhD; Francesco Cucca, MD; Danielle M. Dick, PhD; Tatiana Foroud, PhD; Helena Furberg, MSPH, PhD; Ina Giegling, PhD; Fangyi Gu, ScD; Alistair S. Hall, PhD; Jenni Hällfors, MSc; Shizhong Han, PhD; Annette M. Hartmann, PhD; Caroline Hayward, PhD; Kauko Heikkilä, Phil Lic; John K. Hewitt, PhD; Jouke Jan Hottenga, PhD; Majken K. Jensen, PhD; Pekka Jousilahti, MD, PhD; Marika Kaakinen, MSc; Steven J. Kittner, MD, MPH; Bettina Konte, MSc; Tellervo Korhonen, PhD; Maria-Teresa Landi, PhD; Tiina Laatikainen, MD, PhD; Mark Leppert, PhD; Steven M. Levy, DDS, MPH; Rasika A. Mathias, ScD; Daniel W. McNeil, PhD; Sarah E. Medland, PhD; Grant W. Montgomery, PhD; Thomas Muley, PhD; Tanda Murray, PhD; Matthias Nauck, MD; Kari North, PhD; Michele Pergadia, PhD; Ozren Polasek, MD, MPH, PhD; Erin M. Ramos, PhD; Samuli Ripatti, PhD; Angela Risch, PhD; Ingo Ruczinski, PhD; Igor Rudan, MD, MPH, PhD; Veikko Salomaa, MD, PhD; David Schlessinger, PhD; Unnur Styrkársdóttir, PhD; Antonio Terracciano, PhD; Manuela Uda, PhD; Gonneke Willemsen, PhD; Xifeng Wu, MD, PhD; Goncalo Abecasis, DPhil; Kathleen Barnes, PhD; Heike Bickeböller, PhD; Eric Boerwinkle, PhD; Dorret I. Boomsma, PhD; Neil Caporaso, MD; Jubao Duan, PhD; Howard J. Edenberg, PhD; Clyde Francks, DPhil; Pablo V. Gejman, MD; Joel Gelernter, MD; Hans Jürgen Grabe, MD; Hyman Hops, PhD; Marjo-Riitta Jarvelin, MD, MSc, PhD; Jorma Viikari, MD, PhD; Mika Kähönen, MD, PhD; Kenneth S. Kendler, MD; Terho Lehtimäki, MD, PhD; Douglas F. Levinson, MD; Mary L. Marazita, PhD; Jonathan Marchini, BSc, DPhil; Mads Melbye, MD, DMSc; Braxton D. Mitchell, PhD, MPH; Jeffrey C. Murray, MD; Markus M. Nöthen, PhD; Brenda W. Penninx, PhD; Olli Raitakari, MD, PhD; Marcella Rietschel, MD; Dan Rujescu, PhD; Nilesh J. Samani, MD; Alan R. Sanders, MD; Ann G. Schwartz, PhD; Sanjay Shete, PhD; Jianxin Shi, PhD; Margaret Spitz, MD; Kari Stefansson, MD, PhD; Gary E. Swan, PhD; Thorgeir Thorgeirsson, PhD; Henry Völzke, MD; Qingyi Wei, MD, PhD; H.-Erich Wichmann, MD, PhD; Christopher I. Amos, PhD; Naomi Breslau, PhD; Dale S. Cannon, PhD; Marissa Ehringer, PhD; Richard Grucza, PhD; Dorothy Hatsukami, PhD; Andrew Heath, DPhil; Eric O. Johnson, PhD; Jaakko Kaprio, MD, PhD; Pamela Madden, PhD; Nicholas G. Martin, PhD; Victoria L. Stevens, PhD; Jerry A. Stitzel, PhD; Robert B. Weiss, PhD; Peter Kraft, PhD; Laura J. Bierut, MD

Context: Recent studies have shown an association between cigarettes per day (CPD) and a nonsynonymous single-nucleotide polymorphism in *CHRNA5*, rs16969968.

Objective: To determine whether the association between rs16969968 and smoking is modified by age at onset of regular smoking.

Data Sources: Primary data.

Study Selection: Available genetic studies containing measures of CPD and the genotype of rs16969968 or its proxy.

Data Extraction: Uniform statistical analysis scripts were run locally. Starting with 94 050 ever-smokers from 43 studies, we extracted the heavy smokers (CPD >20) and light smokers (CPD ≤10) with age-at-onset information, re-

ducing the sample size to 33 348. Each study was stratified into early-onset smokers (age at onset ≤16 years) and late-onset smokers (age at onset >16 years), and a logistic regression of heavy vs light smoking with the rs16969968 genotype was computed for each stratum. Meta-analysis was performed within each age-at-onset stratum.

Data Synthesis: Individuals with 1 risk allele at rs16969968 who were early-onset smokers were significantly more likely to be heavy smokers in adulthood (odds ratio [OR] = 1.45; 95% CI, 1.36-1.55; n = 13 843) than were carriers of the risk allele who were late-onset smokers (OR = 1.27; 95% CI, 1.21-1.33, n = 19 505) ($P = .01$).

Conclusion: These results highlight an increased genetic vulnerability to smoking in early-onset smokers.

Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2012;69(8):854-861

Author Affiliations are listed at the end of this article.

TOBACCO USE IS THE LEADING CAUSE OF PREVENTABLE DEATH worldwide, killing more than 5 million people annually.^{1,2} Smoking behaviors, including nicotine dependence, cluster in families,³ and large twin studies,⁴⁻⁹ indicate that this clustering reflects genetic factors. Completion of the Human Genome Project, coupled with rapid advances in genotyping technology, has led to association studies that harness natural genetic variation to gain insight into the genetic basis for smoking behaviors.

Numerous studies¹⁰⁻¹⁹ have demonstrated an association between the nonsynonymous chromosome 15 single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs16969968, in the $\alpha 5$ nicotinic receptor subunit gene *CHRNA5* (often tagged by rs1051730), and smoking behavior, as measured by cigarettes per day (CPD) and nicotine dependence. This association is consistent with biological evidence suggesting the risk variant alters conductance of the $\alpha 5$ -containing nicotinic receptors.^{20,21} Of note, the same locus is associated with risk of lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in several genome-wide association studies.^{14,18,22,23}

Because rs16969968 has a significant genetic effect on smoking behavior, it is important to understand how this genetic variant interacts with various factors, including potentially modifiable risk factors. In particular, age at onset of regular smoking is of interest because of the well-established association between early age at onset of smoking and higher risk of heavier smoking and nicotine dependence.^{9,24-26}

An unresolved issue is whether rs16969968 plays a role in the heightened susceptibility to nicotine dependence in early-onset smokers.^{10,27,28} One study¹⁰ reported an increased risk of nicotine dependence at this locus in individuals who started smoking regularly at or before age 16 years. In contrast, a second study²⁷ found a stronger association with this locus in smokers with age at onset of 16 years or older compared with smokers with an earlier age at onset. The task of interpreting and reconciling published results is further complicated because the definition of nicotine dependence and age at onset of smoking varied across studies.

Multiple investigators collaborated on this meta-analysis of the effect of the interaction between age at onset and rs16969968 on the risk of heavy smoking, contributing 43 individual data sets for a total of 94 050 past or current smokers. In addition to age at onset and rs16969968, we evaluated sex, birth cohort, and educational attainment in these analyses, variables that are related to smoking and easily harmonized across studies. To our knowledge, this study is the first large-scale systematic investigation of variation in the association between rs16969968 and CPD across strata of age at onset of smoking and other individual characteristics, a significant step in deepening our understanding of how we can modify genetic associations for smoking behaviors.

METHODS

STUDY PARTICIPANTS

This study is a meta-analysis of 94 050 individuals from 43 data sets. Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants. Inclusion in the study required (1) reported number of CPD

and (2) the availability of genotype data for rs16969968 or its proxy (rs1051730). All the participants were ever-smokers of European descent aged 25 years or older; within each sample, only unrelated individuals were included. The sample sizes for each of the studies included in this meta-analysis are listed in the **Table**. A brief description of each sample is provided in eAppendix 1 (<http://www.archgenpsychiatry.com>).

ETHICS STATEMENT

This study was conducted according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki and obtained informed consent from participants and approval from the appropriate institutional review boards.

VARIABLES

The primary variables examined were smoking quantity (CPD) and age at onset of regular smoking. Secondary variables were sex, birth cohort, and educational attainment. The text used to ascertain these variables for each study is given in eTable 1.

Previously published meta-analyses of smoking behavior have used 2 different codings of CPD: (1) heavy smoking (CPD >20) vs light smoking (CPD ≤10), excluding the intermediate group,¹⁹ and (2) CPD as a linear variable coded 0 (CPD ≤10), 1 (CPD of 11-20), 2 (CPD of 21-30), and 3 (CPD >30).¹⁶⁻¹⁸ We used both variables for these meta-analyses. The 2 variables gave similar beta values and statistically similar results. For ease of interpretation, results are reported for heavy vs light smoking. The results using CPD as a linear variable are given in eTable 2.

Age at onset of regular smoking was dichotomized as 16 years and younger or older than 16 years, representing the median of smoking onset for smokers of all ages surveyed between 1992 and 2002 in the United States (<http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/studies/tus-cps/info.html>). This definition corresponds to the dichotomization of age at onset of smoking used by Weiss and colleagues.¹⁰ Of the 94 050 current or former smokers in the samples, 61 128 had age at onset of smoking reported, and 33 348 of these were either heavy smokers (CPD >20) or light smokers (CPD ≤10). There were current and former smokers in both groups. These 33 348 individuals, analyzed separately at each site, represent the individuals included in the primary meta-analysis.

The SNP of interest is rs16969968. However, the most frequently genotyped tag SNP for rs16969968 is rs1051730, which has an $r^2 = 1.00$ with rs16969968 based on the CEU 1000 Genomes sample.^{29,30} Therefore, rs1051730 was used in analyses when rs16969968 was unavailable. We report the results as an association with rs16969968 because (1) they are statistically equivalent results and (2) there is biological evidence that rs16969968 alters receptor function.²¹ The minor allele frequency for each sample in the meta-analysis is given in the Table.

QUALITY CONTROL

Genotyping of each data set was performed locally. Strict quality control measures were implemented at each site and are detailed in eAppendix 1. For the meta-analysis, we implemented quality control procedures to ensure that data from individual studies were analyzed correctly. Specifically, we examined univariate and multivariate distributions of all the variables in each study to ensure consistent coding within and across studies.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

To ensure uniform analyses, scripts in a commercially available software program (SAS; SAS Institute, Inc) and in the freeware program R (<http://www.r-project.org>) for genetic association

Table. Sample Sizes and A Allele Frequencies of rs16969968 for Studies Included in This Meta-analysis

Study	Participants, No. (n = 94 050)	Allele Frequency of rs16969968-A
US Data Set		
AddHealth	469	0.31
ACS-COPD	2775	0.35
ACS-LCA	1005	0.38
ARIC	9713	0.34
COGA	1704	0.34
COGEND	2073	0.35
Dental caries ^a	639	0.36
EAGLE-PLCO ^a	5955	0.40
GEOS	477	0.37
KCI-WSU	979	0.38
LHS	1943	0.39
LHS-Utah	1943	0.39
MDACC-LCA ^a	2291	0.37
MDACC-Melanoma ^a	847	0.33
MGS	2988	0.34
NHS-BRCA ^a	1198	0.35
NHS-CHD ^a	724	0.35
NHS-T2D ^a	1590	0.34
NYS-FS	751	0.34
SMOFAM ^a	146	0.34
UTAH ^a	484	0.39
VA-Twin	2768	0.33
Yale	1300	0.35
Australian Data Set		
NAG AUS ^a	1329	0.36
European Data Set		
BOMA	1050	0.36
deCODE ^a	16 404	0.35
DNBC ^a	370	0.34
FINRISK	7864	0.33
GenMetS ^a	648	0.34
HGF	719	0.42
KORA	1319	0.34
KORCULA ^a	384	0.39
LOLIPOP	646	0.31
MUC12 cases ^a	421	0.36
MUC12 controls ^a	235	0.34
MUCMD cases ^a	641	0.37
MUCMD controls ^a	1052	0.35
NAG-Fin ^a	733	0.37
NESDA	1248	0.29
NFBC1966 ^a	3067	0.32
NTR1 ^a	1777	0.39
NTR2 ^a	1173	0.31
SardiNIA	531	0.35
SHIP	4081	0.34
VIS ^a	436	0.39
WTCCC-CAD	1221	0.32
WTCCC-HT	801	0.30
YFS ^a	694	0.32

^aUsed rs1051730 instead of rs16969968 ($r^2 = 1.00$, based on CEU 1000 Genomes data).

analyses were developed centrally and were distributed following protocols similar to those of Saccone et al.¹⁹ The scripts were executed by each participating group, and the results were returned to the coordinating group, where the meta-analysis was performed.

The diversity of individual data sets did not allow for a single reference group for age at onset of smoking across all studies. Accordingly, each site performed logistic regressions with heavy

vs light smoking as the dependent variable (modeling the probability of heavy smoking) and sex and rs16969968 (coded additively) as the independent variables, stratified by age at onset of smoking (in individuals with age at onset of smoking ≤ 16 years and > 16 years). Heterogeneity in the meta-analysis was assessed using the goodness-of-fit statistic Q' , detailed in eAppendix 2.

To evaluate the robustness of these findings, we explored the sensitivity of the results to alternative codings of CPD and age at onset. The 2 alternative CPD codings used included (1) heavy smoking (CPD > 20) vs light smoking (CPD ≤ 10), excluding the intermediate group, and (2) CPD as an ordinal variable coded 0 (CPD ≤ 10), 1 (CPD of 11-20), 2 (CPD of 21-30), and 3 (CPD > 30), as described previously herein. The 3 alternative codings of age at onset included (1) dichotomized as 16 years or younger or older than 16 years (primary variable); (2) categorized as younger than 15, 15 to 16, 17 to 18, and older than 18 years; and (3) categorized by within-study quartile. The results of the secondary analyses are presented in detail in eAppendix 3.

The interaction between the secondary variables (sex, birth cohort, and educational attainment) and rs16969968 on smoking behavior was evaluated by a stratified meta-analysis of CPD (coded as a 4-level trait). These results are presented in eFigures 1 to 4.

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the meta-analysis forest plots for the association between heavy vs light smoking and the rs16969968 A allele, stratified by early- and late-onset smoking. Specifically, this meta-analysis tests whether the genetic risk for heavy smoking, based on the rs16969968 A allele, is different between early- and late-onset smokers ($n = 33\,348$). Smokers in the intermediate smoking level were excluded (CPD of 11-20). Compared with individuals with the rs16969968 GG genotype (wild-type allele), the odds ratio (OR) in early-onset smokers was 1.45 (95% CI, 1.36-1.55) for individuals with AG genotypes and 2.10 (95% CI, 1.97-2.25) for individuals with AA genotypes. In late-onset smokers, the OR is 1.27 (95% CI, 1.21-1.33) for individuals with AG genotypes and 1.61 (95% CI, 1.54-1.69) for individuals with GG genotypes. The difference between the OR in early-onset smokers and the OR in late-onset smokers is significant ($P = .01$). However, the current model does not adequately capture the heterogeneity among data sets: the heterogeneity χ^2 value (Q') was calculated to be 117 ($P < .001$, 69 df).

The relationship among smoking, genotype, and age at onset of smoking is detailed in **Figure 2**. Consistent with previous work, early onset of smoking and rs16969968 contribute to the risk of heavy smoking. Initiating smoking earlier than 16 years of age is significantly associated with higher risk of heavy smoking (OR = 2.63, unadjusted for genotype; 95% CI, 2.49-2.78; $P < .001$). The A allele at rs16969968 is associated with a higher risk of heavy smoking (OR = 1.28 per A allele, unadjusted for age at onset; 95% CI, 1.25-1.32; $P < .001$, modeled additively). The interaction between early-onset smoking and the A allele at rs16969968 is 1.16 ($P = .01$), with OR = 1.27 in late-onset smokers compared with OR = 1.46 in early-onset smokers. In **Figure 2**, the interaction is illustrated by the larger differences between bar heights in the early-onset data compared with the late-onset data, corresponding to a larger genetic effect in the early-onset group.




Figure 1. Odds ratios (ORs) for heavy vs light smoking and rs16969968 A allele across studies where individuals are stratified by age at onset of regular smoking (AOS) of 16 years or younger vs older than 16 years. The *P* value for the difference between early onset and late onset is *P* = .01, based on 33 348 heavy and light smokers. The studies are grouped by continent to allow for cross-cultural comparisons. NA indicates not available.

Because early-onset smoking is a strong risk factor for heavy smoking in adulthood and age at onset of smoking is a heritable characteristic, we must consider the possibility that a shared genetic factor could lead to early-onset smoking and heavy smoking in adulthood. However, rs16969968 does not seem to be a shared genetic factor between early-onset smoking and heavy smoking. Specifically, no association was seen between dichotomized age at onset and rs16969968 in this sample of 67 128 smokers (*P* = .77). This suggests that a direct relationship between rs16969968 and age at onset is unlikely to explain the observed association between smoking quantity and rs16969968 in early-onset smokers.

The robustness of the statistical interaction was evaluated by varying the way in which CPD and age at onset were modeled. Finding an increased genetic association

in early-onset smokers seems robust across CPD phenotypes and definitions of early onset. There was no statistical interaction observed between rs16969968 and sex, birth cohort, or educational attainment. The results of these analyses are detailed in eFigures 1 to 4 and eTables 1 and 2.

COMMENT

Once genetic factors contributing to disease susceptibility have been identified, the next goal is to find factors that can reduce an individual's genetic risk. We designed this large meta-analysis to determine whether age at onset modifies a known genetic association with smoking behavior. We found that the genetic risk of heavy




Figure 2. Meta-analysis of the association between the rs16969968 genotype and heavy (cigarettes per day [CPD] >20) vs light (CPD ≤10) smoking, stratified by early-onset (age at onset ≤16 years) and late-onset (onset >16 years) smoking. Odds ratios (ORs) are given relative to late-onset smokers with the GG genotype. Effect of the interaction between the rs16969968 A allele and early-onset smoking on risk of heavy smoking: OR=1.16, n=36 936, P=.01.

smoking (as measured by the rs16969968 A allele) is greater in early-onset smokers (onset of smoking at ≤16 years) compared with later-onset smokers. These results are consistent with the findings of Weiss and colleagues^{10,28} and are supported by animal models in which the developing adolescent brain has been shown to be particularly vulnerable to addictive effects of nicotine³¹⁻³⁵ and by human studies suggesting that adolescent neurodevelopment is a particularly vulnerable period for the development of addiction.³⁶ In addition, the increased association in early-onset smokers is consistent with the epidemiologic observation of increased vulnerability to dependence in early-onset smokers.^{9,24,26} With this large international collaborative analysis, we also demonstrated that the stronger association of rs16969968 in white early-onset smokers is consistent across different continents (North America, Europe, and Australia).

The finding of a stronger genetic risk in early-onset smokers supports public health interventions to reduce adolescent smoking. However, of the variables evaluated in this study, the strongest single risk factor of heavy smoking is early-onset smoking, highlighting the importance of a reduction in adolescent smoking across the entire population, not just in individuals with the risk allele of rs16969968. Nonetheless, there is a robust debate on whether policy and other interventions aimed at curbing early use would have significant effects on the development of dependence and related health problems over the long term. Although early use is associated with greater vulnerability to addiction,^{9,24} early use is also associated with a variety of behaviors reflecting a vulnerability already in place before the onset of use.³⁷ Accordingly, early use may not cause greater vulnerability to addiction; instead, early use and vulnerability to addiction may have a shared etiology.

Age at onset of regular smoking is a heritable trait consistently documented by twin studies, and it is influenced by environmental exposures, such as parental smoking and peer smoking.³⁷ In addition, there is evidence from twin

studies for a shared susceptibility to early-onset smoking and nicotine dependence.³⁷ Therefore, it is interesting that we did not observe a direct association between rs16969968 and age at onset. Further analyses suggest that this result is robust to adjustment for sex, age, and coding of age at onset. We cannot rule out the possibility that unmeasured variables suppressed a true association between rs16969968 and age at onset. Further study is warranted to investigate the relationship between early smoking behaviors and genes in this region to improve our understanding of the mechanism by which age at onset modifies the genetic association with heavy smoking.

It is somewhat sobering to note that despite (1) the strong effect of age at onset on smoking behavior, (2) the strong genetic effect of the rs16969968 genotype on smoking behavior, and (3) the subjectively meaningful interaction between the 2 effects (increasing the OR per genotype from 1.27 to 1.46), the large sample detected this interaction with a somewhat modest P=.01. This result highlights the power needed to detect gene-environment interactions in complex disease.

There are several limitations of this study. First, as in any meta-analysis, this study is based on heterogeneous samples with differential assessment of measures. In addition there was statistical evidence of heterogeneity. Heterogeneity likely contributes to a lack of precision in this analysis. However, because misclassification is independent of genotype, any bias under the alternative hypothesis would decrease the power of the study (leading to an underestimate of the interaction effect).³⁸ Since we had adequate power to detect an interaction on heavy vs light smoking between rs16969968 and age at onset of regular smoking, improved homogeneity of the studies should only strengthen this result.

Although rs16969968 is the most strongly associated SNP genome-wide, multiple associations in the region form haplotypes associated with varying risk of smoking behavior.^{10,16-19} Furthermore, although this article references rs16969968 as the likely causal association, more than half of the studies used the SNP rs1051730 to tag rs16969968, and there are multiple other SNPs spanning the *CHRNA5-CHRNA3-CHRNA4* cluster that are indistinguishable from rs16969968 in populations of European descent. Therefore, the SNP analysis is a simplification of the true genetic model and does not represent the full complexity of the relationship of age at onset with the association between genotype and smoking in this region. In addition, further study is required to better understand other modifiers of the association between CPD and rs16969968. For example, parental monitoring and peer smoking have been shown to modify this genetic association.^{39,40}

A potential source of bias in the data is early death due to smoking in early-onset smokers. This could artificially cause an interaction between rs16969968 and early smoking onset if the early deaths were preferentially in individuals who lack the risk allele. However, if this were the case, we would expect to see a stronger interaction among individuals born in the 1920-1939 birth cohort compared with in the 1960-1979 birth cohort, which is not the case.

In summary, in a large meta-analysis, we found an increased association between CPD and rs16969968 in early-

onset smokers, which helps explain the epidemiologic observation of increased rates of nicotine dependence in early-onset smokers. These results provide further compelling evidence in support of public health interventions targeting adolescent smoking.

Submitted for Publication: July 20, 2011; final revision received October 4, 2011; accepted December 2, 2011.

Author Affiliations: Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, Missouri (Drs Hartz, Saccone, Culverhouse, L. Chen, Pergadia, Grucza, Heath, Madden, and Bierut and Mr Schwantes-An); Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island (Dr Short); University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City (Drs Coon, Leppert, Cannon, and Weiss); The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston (Drs Y. Han, Scheet, Shete, Wu, Spitz, Wei, and Amos and Mr Xiao); University of Colorado, Boulder (Drs Stephens, Hewitt, Ehringer, and Stitzel); American Cancer Society, Atlanta, Georgia (Mr Sun and Dr Stevens); Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond (Drs X. Chen, Aggen, J. Chen, Dick, and Kendler); Institute of Psychiatry Kings College, and Department of Psychiatry, St George's University, London, United Kingdom (Dr Ducci); University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy (Dr Ducci); University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore (Drs Dueker, Cheng, and Mitchell); University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill (Drs Franceschini, Couper, and North); Central Institute of Mental Health, Mannheim, Germany (Mr Frank and Dr Rietschel); Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark (Mr Geller and Drs Boyd and Melbye); deCODE Genetics, Reykjavik, Iceland (Drs Guðbjartsson, Styrkársdóttir, Stefansson, and Thorgeirsson); Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland (Drs Hansel, Beaty, Mathias, T. Murray, Ruczinski, and Barnes); Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut (Ms Jiang and Drs S. Han, Yang, and Gelernter); University of Helsinki, Hjelt Institute, Helsinki, Finland (Drs Kesitalo-Vuokkoe, Broms, Heikkilä, Korhonen, and Kaprio and Ms Hällfors); Department of Clinical Chemistry, Fimlab Laboratories, Tampere, Finland (Drs Lyytikäinen, Kähönen, and Lehtimäki); Department of Clinical Physiology, Tampere University Hospital and University of Tampere School of Medicine, Tampere (Dr Kähönen); University Medical Center, Georg-August-University Goettingen, Goettingen, Germany (Mr Rosenberger and Dr Bickeböller); Department of Statistics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom (Drs Liu and Marchini); SRI International, Menlo Park, California (Drs Michel, Bergen, and Swan); Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany (Drs Rawal and Wichmann); University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (Drs Shaffer and Marazita); University of Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany (Drs Teumer, Baumeister, Nauck, Grabe, and Völzke); University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom (Drs Thompson and Samani); VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (Drs Vink, Hottenga, Willemsen, and Boomsma); VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam (Drs Vogelzangs and Penninx); Karmanos Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan (Ms Wenzlaff and Dr Schwartz); Information Management Services Inc, Rockville, Maryland

(Dr Wheeler); University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom (Drs Balmforth and Hall); University of Washington, Seattle, Washington (Dr Bennett); University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom (Drs Campbell and Rudan); Research Center Jülich, Jülich, Germany (Dr Cichon); Life and Brain Center and Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany (Drs Cichon and Nöthen); Istituto di Ricerca Genetica e Biomedica, CNR, Rome, Italy (Dr Cucca); Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond (Dr Dick); Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana (Drs Foroud and Edenberg); Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York (Dr Furberg); Ludwig Maximilians University Munich, Munich, Germany (Drs Giegling, Hartmann, and Rujescu and Ms Konte); Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Edinburgh (Dr Hayward); Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts (Drs Jensen and Kraft); National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki (Drs Jousilahti, Laatikainen, Salomaa, Broms, and Kaprio); Institute of Health Sciences, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland (Ms Kaakinen and Dr Jarvelin); Baltimore Veterans Administration Medical Center and University of Maryland, Baltimore (Dr Kittner); Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute (Drs Landi, Chatterjee, Caporaso, Shi, and Gu), National Human Genome Research Institute (Dr Ramos), and National Institute on Aging (Drs Schlessinger and Terracciano), National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland; University of Iowa, Iowa City (Drs Levy and J. C. Murray); West Virginia University, Morgantown (Dr McNeil); Queensland Institute of Medical Research, Herston, Australia (Drs Medland, Montgomery, and Martin); Thoraxklinik am Universitätsklinikum Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany (Dr Muley); Medical School, University of Split, Split, Croatia (Dr Polasek); University of Helsinki (Dr Ripatti); DKFZ-German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg (Dr Risch); Translational Lung Research Centre Heidelberg, and Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Rome, Italy (Dr Uda); University of Michigan, Ann Arbor (Dr Abecasis); The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston (Dr Boerwinkle); NorthShore University HealthSystem Research Institute and University of Chicago, Evanston, Illinois (Drs Duan, Gejman, and Sanders); Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, Nijmegen, the Netherlands (Dr Francks); Oregon Research Institute, Eugene (Dr Hops); Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, MRC-HPA Centre for Environment and Health, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, London (Dr Jarvelin); Department of Lifecourse and Services, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Oulu (Dr Jarvelin); Departments of Medicine (Dr Viikari) and Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine (Dr Raitakari), Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland; Research Centre of Applied and Preventive Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Turku, Turku (Dr Raitakari); Stanford University, Stanford, California (Dr Levinson); Institute of Epidemiology I, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Institute of Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Klinikum Grosshadern, Germany

(Dr Wichmann); Michigan State University, East Lansing (Dr Breslau); University of Minnesota, Minneapolis (Dr Hatsukami); RTI International, Durham, North Carolina (Dr Johnson); and Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland, Helsinki (Ms Hällfors and Dr Kaprio).

Correspondence: Laura J. Bierut, MD, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S Euclid Ave, Campus Box 8134, St Louis, MO 63110 (laura@wustl.edu).

Author Contributions: Dr Bierut had full access to all the data in the meta-analysis and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Financial Disclosure: Dr Broms consulted for Pfizer on nicotine-dependence measurements in 2008. Dr Kaprio consulted on the Pfizer on pharmacogenetics of smoking cessation in 2008. Dr Bierut served as a consultant for Pfizer Inc in 2008 and is an inventor on the patent "Markers for Addiction" (US 20070258898) covering the use of certain SNPs in determining the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of addiction. Dr Saccone is the spouse of Scott Saccone, PhD, who is also listed as an inventor on the previously mentioned patent.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by grants R01HL089651-01, U01-DE018903, N01-AG-1-2109, K01DA24758, N01-PC35145, N01-PC35146, N01-HR-46002, K07 CA118412, K02 AA018755, U10 AA008401, K01DA19498, K02DA021237, P01 CA089392, R01 MH59571, R01 MH61675, R01CA060691, R01CA060691, U01 MH79469, U01 MH79470, R01 AA017535, R01 AA11330, R01 DA12690, R01 DA12849, R01DA026911, R01DA25888, R01NS45012, R21DA027070, T32 MH014677, U01 HG004436, U01HG004438, U01 NS069208, U01HG004446, UL1RR024992, NIH DA12854, DA019951, R21DA033827, HHSN268200782096C, U01 HG004738, U01DA02830, R01 MH67257, R01 MH59588, R01 MH59565, R01 MH59587, R01 MH60870, R01 MH59566, R01 MH59586, R01 MH60879, R01 MH81800, U01 MH46276, MH46289, MH46318, U54 RR020278, R01 DA017932, and R01 DA03706 from the National Institutes of Health; the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging; grant 7PT2000-2004 from the University of California Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program; Academy of Finland (project grants 104781 and 120315 and the Center of Excellence in Complex Disease Genetics); University Hospital Oulu; the European Commission; the European Commission's Sixth Framework Program, Integrated Project GENADDICT (LSHM-CT-2004-005166), and the Seventh Framework Program, Integrated Project ENGAGE (HEALTH-F4-2007- 201413); Academy of Finland Center of Excellence in Complex Disease Genetics, Global Research Awards for Nicotine Dependence; SALVE program grant 129494; The Finnish Foundation for Cardiovascular Diseases; Alfried Krupp von Bohlen und Halbach-Stiftung; German Federal Ministry of Education and Research grants 01ZZ9603, 01ZZ0103, 01ZZ0403, NGNF-2, NGNFplus, IG MooDS: 01GS08144, and 01GS08147; Geestkracht programme of the Dutch Scientific Organization (ZON-MW grant 10-000-1002); matching funds from participating institutes; Center for Molecular and Systems Biology; the Genetic Association Information Network of the Foundation for the US National Institutes of Health, German Research Foundation (DFG: GR 1912/5-1); Uni-

versity of Helsinki Biomedical Graduate School; Medical Research Fund of Tampere University Hospital; National Institute for Health Research Academic Clinical Fellowship at the Division of Mental Health, St George's, University of London; NARSAD Young Investigator Award; Wellcome Trust; The Paul Michael Donovan Charitable Foundation; The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation; and The Mary Beryl Patch Turnbull Scholar Program.

Role of the Sponsors: The funding organizations had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; or preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

Previous Presentations: This study was presented in part at the XVIIIth World Congress on Psychiatric Genetics; October 5, 2010; Athens, Greece; 17th Annual Meeting of the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco; February 18, 2011; Toronto, Ontario, Canada; and XIXth World Congress on Psychiatric Genetics; September 11, 2011; Washington, DC.

Online-Only Material: The eAppendixes, eTables, and eFigures are available at <http://www.archgenpsychiatry.com>.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Tobacco: fact sheet No. 339. <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs339/en/index.html>. Accessed June 4, 2012.
2. World Health Organization. *WHO Report on the Global Tobacco Epidemic, 2009*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data; 2009.
3. Bierut LJ, Dinwiddie SH, Begleiter H, Crowe RR, Hesselbrock V, Nurnberger JI Jr, Porjesz B, Schuckit MA, Reich T. Familial transmission of substance dependence: alcohol, marijuana, cocaine, and habitual smoking: a report from the Collaborative Study on the Genetics of Alcoholism. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1998; 55(11):982-988.
4. Carmelli D, Swan GE, Robinette D, Fabsitz R. Genetic influence on smoking: a study of male twins. *N Engl J Med*. 1992;327(12):829-833.
5. Heath AC, Martin NG. Genetic models for the natural history of smoking: evidence for a genetic influence on smoking persistence. *Addict Behav*. 1993; 18(1):19-34.
6. Lessov CN, Martin NG, Statham DJ, Todorov AA, Slutske WS, Bucholz KK, Heath AC, Madden PA. Defining nicotine dependence for genetic research: evidence from Australian twins. *Psychol Med*. 2004;34(5):865-879.
7. Dick DM, Foroud T, Flury L, Bowman ES, Miller MJ, Rau NL, Moe PR, Samavedy N, El-Mallakh R, Manji H, Giltz DA, Meyer ET, Smiley C, Hahn R, Widmark C, McKinnon R, Sutton L, Ballas C, Grice D, Berrettini W, Byerley W, Coryell W, DePaulo R, MacKinnon DF, Gershon ES, Kelsoe JR, McMahon FJ, McInnis M, Murphy DL, Reich T, Scheftner W, Nurnberger JI Jr. Genomewide linkage analyses of bipolar disorder: a new sample of 250 pedigrees from the National Institute of Mental Health Genetics Initiative. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2003;73(1):107-114.
8. Madden PA, Heath AC, Pedersen NL, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo MJ, Martin NG. The genetics of smoking persistence in men and women: a multicultural study. *Behav Genet*. 1999;29(6):423-431.
9. Xian H, Scherrer JF, Eisen SA, Lyons MJ, Tsuang M, True WR, Bucholz KK. Nicotine dependence subtypes: association with smoking history, diagnostic criteria and psychiatric disorders in 5440 regular smokers from the Vietnam Era Twin Registry. *Addict Behav*. 2007;32(1):137-147.
10. Weiss RB, Baker TB, Cannon DS, von Niederhausern A, Dunn DM, Matsunami N, Singh NA, Baird L, Coon H, McMahon WM, Piper ME, Fiore MC, Scholand MB, Connett JE, Kanner RE, Gahring LC, Rogers SW, Hoidal JR, Leppert MF. A candidate gene approach identifies the *CHRNA5-A3-B4* region as a risk factor for age-dependent nicotine addiction. *PLoS Genet*. 2008;4(7):e1000125.
11. Saccone SF, Hinrichs AL, Saccone NL, Chase GA, Konvicka K, Madden PA, Breslau N, Johnson EO, Hatsukami D, Pomerleau O, Swan GE, Goate AM, Rutter J, Bertelsen S, Fox L, Fugman D, Martin NG, Montgomery GW, Wang JC, Ballinger DG, Rice JP, Bierut LJ. Cholinergic nicotinic receptor genes implicated in a nicotine dependence association study targeting 348 candidate genes with 3713 SNPs. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2007;16(1):36-49.
12. Bierut LJ, Madden PA, Breslau N, Johnson EO, Hatsukami D, Pomerleau OF, Swan GE, Rutter J, Bertelsen S, Fox L, Fugman D, Goate AM, Hinrichs AL, Konvicka K,

- Martin NG, Montgomery GW, Saccone NL, Saccone SF, Wang JC, Chase GA, Rice JP, Ballinger DG. Novel genes identified in a high-density genome wide association study for nicotine dependence. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2007;16(1):24-35.
13. Berrettini W, Yuan X, Tozzi F, Song K, Francks C, Chilcoat H, Waterworth D, Muglia P, Mooser V. α -5/ α -3 Nicotinic receptor subunit alleles increase risk for heavy smoking. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2008;13(4):368-373.
 14. Thorgeirsson TE, Geller F, Sulem P, Rafnar T, Wiste A, Magnusson KP, Manolescu A, Thorleifsson G, Stefansson H, Ingason A, Stacey SN, Bergthorsson JT, Thorlacius S, Gudmundsson J, Jonsson T, Jakobsdottir M, Saemundsdottir J, Olafsdottir O, Gudmundsson LJ, Bjornsdottir G, Kristjansson K, Skuladottir H, Isaksson HJ, Gudbjartsson T, Jones GT, Mueller T, Gottsäter A, Flex A, Aben KK, de Vegt F, Mulders PF, Isla D, Vidal MJ, Asin L, Saez B, Murillo L, Blondal T, Kolbeinsson H, Stefansson JG, Hansdottir I, Runarsdottir V, Pola R, Lindblad B, van Rij AM, Dieplinger B, Haltmayer M, Mayordomo JI, Kiemeny LA, Matthiasson SE, Oskarsson H, Tyrfinngsson T, Gudbjartsson DF, Gulcher JR, Jonsson S, Thorsteinsdottir U, Kong A, Stefansson K. A variant associated with nicotine dependence, lung cancer and peripheral arterial disease. *Nature.* 2008;452(7187):638-642.
 15. Stevens VL, Bierut LJ, Talbot JT, Wang JC, Sun J, Hinrichs AL, Thun MJ, Goate A, Calle EE. Nicotinic receptor gene variants influence susceptibility to heavy smoking. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2008;17(12):3517-3525.
 16. Liu JZ, Tozzi F, Waterworth DM, Pillai SG, Muglia P, Middleton L, Berrettini W, Knouff CW, Yuan X, Waeber G, Vollenweider P, Preisig M, Wareham NJ, Zhao JH, Loos RJ, Barroso I, Khaw KT, Grundy S, Barter P, Mahley R, Kesaniemi A, McPherson R, Vincent JB, Strauss J, Kennedy JL, Farmer A, McGuffin P, Day R, Matthews K, Bakke P, Gulsvik A, Lucae S, Ising M, Brueckl T, Horstmann S, Wichmann HE, Rawal R, Dahmen N, Lamina C, Polasek O, Zgaga L, Huffman J, Campbell S, Kooner J, Chambers JC, Burnett MS, Devaney JM, Pichard AD, Kent KM, Sattler L, Lindsay JM, Waksman R, Epstein S, Wilson JF, Wild SH, Campbell H, Vitart V, Reilly MP, Li M, Qu L, Wilensky R, Matthai W, Hakonarson HH, Rader DJ, Franke A, Wittig M, Schäfer A, Uda M, Terracciano A, Xiao X, Busonero F, Scheet P, Schlessinger D, St Clair D, Rujescu D, Abecasis GR, Grabe HJ, Teumer A, Völzke H, Petersmann A, John U, Rudan I, Hayward C, Wright AF, Kolcic I, Wright BJ, Thompson JR, Balmforth AJ, Hall AS, Samani NJ, Anderson CA, Ahmad T, Mathew CG, Parkes M, Satsangi J, Caulfield M, Munroe PB, Farrall M, Dominiczak A, Worthington J, Thomson W, Eyre S, Barton A, Mooser V, Francks C, Marchini J; Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium. Meta-analysis and imputation refines the association of 15q25 with smoking quantity. *Nat Genet.* 2010;42(5):436-440.
 17. Tobacco and Genetics Consortium. Genome-wide meta-analyses identify multiple loci associated with smoking behavior. *Nat Genet.* 2010;42(5):441-447.
 18. Thorgeirsson TE, Gudbjartsson DF, Surakka I, Vink JM, Amin N, Geller F, Sulem P, Rafnar T, Esko T, Walter S, Gieger C, Rawal R, Mangino M, Prokopenko I, Mägi R, Kesitalo K, Gudjonsson IH, Gretarsdottir S, Stefansson H, Thompson JR, Aulchenko YS, Neles M, Aben KK, den Heijer M, Dirksen A, Ashraf H, Soranzo N, Valdes AM, Steves C, Uitterlinden AG, Hofman A, Tönjes A, Kovacs P, Hottenga JJ, Willemsen G, Vogelzang N, Döring A, Dahmen N, Nitz B, Pergadia ML, Saez B, De Diego V, Lezcano V, Garcia-Prats MD, Ripatti S, Perola M, Kettunen J, Hartikainen AL, Pouta A, Laitinen J, Isohanni M, Huei-Yi S, Allen M, Krestyaninova M, Hall AS, Jones GT, van Rij AM, Mueller T, Dieplinger B, Haltmayer M, Jonsson S, Matthiasson SE, Oskarsson H, Tyrfinngsson T, Kiemeny LA, Mayordomo JI, Lindholt JS, Pedersen JH, Franklin WA, Wolf H, Montgomery GW, Heath AC, Martin NG, Madden PA, Giegling I, Rujescu D, Järvelin MR, Salomaa V, Stumvoll M, Spector TD, Wichmann HE, Metspalu A, Samani NJ, Penninx BW, Oostra BA, Boomsma DI, Tiemeier H, van Duijn CM, Kaprio J, Gulcher JR, McCarthy MI, Peltonen L, Thorsteinsdottir U, Stefansson K; ENGAGE Consortium. Sequence variants at *CHRNA3-CHRNA6* and *CYP2A6* affect smoking behavior. *Nat Genet.* 2010;42(5):448-453.
 19. Saccone NL, Culverhouse RC, Schwantes-An TH, Cannon DS, Chen X, Cichon S, Giegling I, Han S, Han Y, Kesitalo-Vuokko K, Kong X, Landi MT, Ma JZ, Short SE, Stephens SH, Stevens VL, Sun L, Wang Y, Wenzlaff AS, Aggen SH, Breslau N, Broderick P, Chatterjee N, Chen J, Heath AC, Heliövaara M, Hof NR, Hunter DJ, Jensen MK, Martin NG, Montgomery GW, Niu T, Payne TJ, Peltonen L, Pergadia ML, Rice JP, Sherva R, Spitz MR, Sun J, Wang JC, Weiss RB, Wheeler W, Witt SH, Yang BZ, Caporaso NE, Ehringer MA, Eisen T, Gapstur SM, Gelernter J, Houlston R, Kaprio J, Kendler KS, Kraft P, Leppert MF, Li MD, Madden PA, Nöthen MM, Pillai S, Rietschel M, Rujescu D, Schwartz A, Amos CI, Bierut LJ. Multiple independent loci at chromosome 15q25.1 affect smoking quantity: a meta-analysis and comparison with lung cancer and COPD. *PLoS Genet.* 2010;6(8):e1001053. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001053.
 20. Kuryatov A, Berrettini W, Lindstrom J. Acetylcholine receptor (AChR) α 5 subunit variant associated with risk for nicotine dependence and lung cancer reduces (α 4 β 2)₂ α 5 AChR function. *Mol Pharmacol.* 2011;79(1):119-125.
 21. Saccone NL, Saccone SF, Goate AM, Gruzca RA, Hinrichs AL, Rice JP, Bierut LJ. In search of causal variants: refining disease association signals using cross-population contrasts. *BMC Genet.* 2008;9:58.
 22. Amos CI, Wu X, Broderick P, Gorlov IP, Gu J, Eisen T, Dong Q, Zhang Q, Gu X, Vijayakrishnan J, Sullivan K, Matakidou A, Wang Y, Mills G, Doheny K, Tsai YY, Chen WV, Shete S, Spitz MR, Houlston RS. Genome-wide association scan of tag SNPs identifies a susceptibility locus for lung cancer at 15q25.1. *Nat Genet.* 2008;40(5):616-622.
 23. Hung RJ, McKay JD, Gaborieau V, Boffetta P, Hashibe M, Zaridze D, Mukeria A, Szeszenia-Dabrowska N, Lissowska J, Rudnai P, Fabianova E, Mates D, Bencko V, Foretova L, Janout V, Chen C, Goodman G, Field JK, Liloglou T, Xinarianos G, Cassidy A, McLaughlin J, Liu G, Narod S, Krokan HE, Skorpen F, Elvestad MB, Hveem K, Vatten L, Linseisen J, Clavel-Chapelon F, Vineis P, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Lund E, Martinez C, Bingham S, Rasmussen T, Hainaut P, Riboli E, Ahrens W, Benhamou S, Laggiou P, Trichopoulos D, Holcátová I, Merletti F, Kjaerheim K, Agudo A, Macfarlane G, Talamini R, Simonato L, Lowry R, Conway DI, Znaor A, Healy C, Zelenika D, Boland A, Delepine M, Foglio M, Lechner D, Matsuda F, Blanche H, Gut I, Heath S, Lathrop M, Brennan P. A susceptibility locus for lung cancer maps to nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit genes on 15q25. *Nature.* 2008;452(7187):633-637.
 24. Lando HA, Thai DT, Murray DM, Robinson LA, Jeffery RW, Sherwood NE, Henriksen DJ. Age of initiation, smoking patterns, and risk in a population of working adults. *Prev Med.* 1999;29(6, pt 1):590-598.
 25. Breslau N, Fenn N, Peterson EL. Early smoking initiation and nicotine dependence in a cohort of young adults. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 1993;33(2):129-137.
 26. Pergadia ML, Heath AC, Agrawal A, Bucholz KK, Martin NG, Madden PA. The implications of simultaneous smoking initiation for inferences about the genetics of smoking behavior from twin data. *Behav Genet.* 2006;36(4):567-576.
 27. Gruzca RA, Johnson EO, Krueger RF, Breslau N, Saccone NL, Chen LS, Derringer J, Agrawal A, Lynskey M, Bierut LJ. Incorporating age at onset of smoking into genetic models for nicotine dependence: evidence for interaction with multiple genes. *Addict Biol.* 2010;15(3):346-357.
 28. Baker TB, Weiss RB, Bolt D, von Niederhausern A, Fiore MC, Dunn DM, Piper ME, Matsunami N, Smith SS, Coon H, McMahon WM, Scholand MB, Singh N, Hoidal JR, Kim SY, Leppert MF, Cannon DS. Human neuronal acetylcholine receptor A5-A3-B4 haplotypes are associated with multiple nicotine dependence phenotypes. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2009;11(7):785-796.
 29. Durbin RM, Abecasis GR, Altshuler DL, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, Gibbs RA, Hurles ME, McVean GA. A map of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. *Nature.* 2010;467(7319):1061-1073.
 30. Johnson AD, Handsaker RE, Pulit SL, Nizzari MM, O'Donnell CJ, de Bakker PIW. SNAP: a web-based tool for identification and annotation of proxy SNPs using HapMap. *Bioinformatics.* 2008;24(24):2938-2939.
 31. Adriani W, Spijker S, Deroche-Gamonet V, Laviola G, Le Moal M, Smit AB, Piazza PV. Evidence for enhanced neurobehavioral vulnerability to nicotine during periadolescence in rats. *J Neurosci.* 2003;23(11):4712-4716.
 32. Cruz FC, Delucia R, Planeta CS. Differential behavioral and neuroendocrine effects of repeated nicotine in adolescent and adult rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2005;80(3):411-417.
 33. Schochet TL, Kelley AE, Landry CF. Differential behavioral effects of nicotine exposure in adolescent and adult rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2004;175(3):265-273.
 34. Slotkin TA. Nicotine and the adolescent brain: insights from an animal model. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 2002;24(3):369-384.
 35. Trauth JA, Seidler FJ, Ali SF, Slotkin TA. Adolescent nicotine exposure produces immediate and long-term changes in CNS noradrenergic and dopaminergic function. *Brain Res.* 2001;892(2):269-280.
 36. Chambers RA, Taylor JR, Potenza MN. Developmental neurocircuitry of motivation in adolescence: a critical period of addiction vulnerability. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2003;160(6):1041-1052.
 37. Vink JM, Willemsen G, Boomsma DI. Heritability of smoking initiation and nicotine dependence. *Behav Genet.* 2005;35(4):397-406.
 38. Garcia-Closas M, Thompson WD, Robins JM. Differential misclassification and the assessment of gene-environment interactions in case-control studies. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1998;147(5):426-433.
 39. Chen LS, Johnson EO, Breslau N, Hatsukami D, Saccone NL, Gruzca RA, Wang JC, Hinrichs AL, Fox L, Goate AM, Rice JP, Bierut LJ. Interplay of genetic risk factors and parent monitoring in risk for nicotine dependence. *Addiction.* 2009;104(10):1731-1740.
 40. Johnson EO, Chen LS, Breslau N, Hatsukami D, Robbins T, Saccone NL, Gruzca RA, Bierut LJ. Peer smoking and the nicotinic receptor genes: an examination of genetic and environmental risks for nicotine dependence. *Addiction.* 2010;105(11):2014-2022.